



SUNSHINE COAST REGIONAL DISTRICT

Question and Answers #1

Request for Proposal No. 2634502

Marine Float and Anchor Replacement – West Bay

Date: March 31, 2026

Item No.1

Question: We are wondering if there's any clarification that can be provided in terms of additional permits needed for this RFP. We understand the current permit for DFO expires June 5 and we're just wondering other than this permit needing to be renewed which we were responsible for, what other permitting is required?

Answer: The Contractor is responsible for determining what permits approvals, and notifications required for the work. While the Regional District is not currently aware of any other required permits, the Contractor will be responsible of obtaining all permits, approvals, and notifications required for the work, except those already valid and/or secured by the Regional District which have been provided with this solicitation document.

Item No.2

Question: Will also source permitting for this area on our own accord?

Answer: Contractor will obtain all necessary permits for construction activities including source permitting as required.

Item No.3 RFP Section 4.2 Item 9 -

Question: This item indicates sealed drawings, specifications and calculations. However, in Section 01 30 01 Item 1.1.4 it requests shop drawing submittals. Are sealed shop drawings sufficient or will sealed calculations and engineered plans be required?

Answer: see Amendment No.2.

Item No.4 RFP Section 4.2 Item 9

Question: Please elaborate on sealed calculations requirements. Does the EoR require specifics in the calculations/modelling of the design? If the drawings are sealed by an engineer are calculations necessary as a submittal?

Answer: see Amendment No.2

Item No.5 Supplementary Specs: Section 01 30 01 Item 5.2

Question: Please elaborate on testing requirements. Is testing to be specified by float engineer? What are we looking to test from the performance requirements?

Answer: Contractor to prepare and implement a project Quality Control Plan (QCP) to ensure the work conforms to the contract documents and that the products meet the quality requirements. The project QCP to be submitted to the Consultant and the Owner for review prior to mobilization. The project QCP to include an Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) that will frame the inspections and tests that the Contractor and/or subcontractors will perform to ensure the work conforms to the contract documents and that the products meet the quality requirements. Quality Assurance (QA) will be the

RFP 2634502 – Marine Float and Anchor Replacement – West Bay
responsibility of the Consultant, which covers review of quality control documentations and field reviews when and if necessary. The testing shall be determined by the float engineer to address the following:

- Structural integrity of all welds, bolted connections, and framing
- Verification of freeboard, levelness, and stability under design loads and compare with designed values
- Conformance of fabricated elements to approved shop drawings

Item No.6 Supplementary Specs: Section 15 10 01 Item 4.7

Question: Please confirm the float is required to have bumpers as well as rubboards. Typically boats would have bumpers to utilize when mooring. Is the intent to have permanent bumpers along the float face? Will we be provided with a design vessel to aid in appropriate fender/bumper design?

Answer: The float is required to have continuous, durable rubboards installed around the full perimeter as specified to protect it from exposure in a marine environment, rather than relying on vessel-supplied fenders.

The inclusion, type, and extent of bumpers/fenders shall be determined by the Contractor based on the intended use of the dock; however, it is expected that bumpers be provided along the primary mooring face(s), consistent with the existing condition where bumpers are installed on one side. The Contractor shall adopt appropriate design assumptions (e.g., vessel size) for the fender system and submit these as part of the design for review.

Item No.7 Supplementary Specs: Section 15 10 01 item 8.4

Question: Will the Owner and EoR accept an assumed wave height and/or provide local data to indicate an appropriate design wave height? Is the intent of this item to include a metocean study in the fee proposal? This will increase design fees significantly.

Answer: The Owner and EoR will accept an assumed wave height appropriate to the project sites location and will not provide additional local data. The intent of this item is not to include a metocean study in the fee proposal.

Item No.8 Supplementary Specs: Section 15 10 01 Item 8.5

Question: Is this a concentrated live load acting vertically?

Answer: Yes, it is a vertically applied concentrated live load acting downward on the float deck.

Item No.9 Supplementary Specs: Section 15 10 01 Item 8.7

Question: Please define “normal conditions”. Is this the loaded freeboard (ie: dead + live load), or would this be unloaded accounting for the weight of the gangway and float dead load?

Answer: The specified freeboard refers to the float under dead load only (including the self-weight of the float, gangway, mooring components, etc.).

Item No.10

Question: Confirm if bid will be accepted by email to this address (purchasing@scrd.ca)?

Answer: The Regional District is not accepting submissions by email for this proposal, please see page 1 of the RFP document we are requesting BC Bid Electronic Submissions or Hardcopy Submissions.

Item No.11

Question: Confirm if a bid bond is required to be submitted with the tender.

RFP 2634502 – Marine Float and Anchor Replacement – West Bay

Answer: Please see section 5.5 page 21 of the RFP document and item 7.1 Mandatory requirements on page 23.

Item No.12

Question: Confirm the scope of work that is covered by the DFO permit, it appears to be for a different project involving pile driving and removal.

Answer: Yes, this work is covered by Appendix F DFO permit, please see page 1, paragraph one, bullet three "Replacement of the existing floating dock."

Item No.13

Question: Confirm if the cost for on site environmental monitoring is to be carried by the Contractor, or if they will be engaged separately by the Regional District.

Answer: The cost for on site environmental monitoring is to be carried by the Contractor.
