



SUNSHINE COAST REGIONAL DISTRICT

Question and Answers #1

Request for Proposal No. 2461311

Lighting Design Engineering and Construction Administration

Date: November 21, 2024

Item No.1

Question: Is this project a complete re-design or a 1-1 replacement of the light fixtures?

Answer: A 1:1 replacement of fixtures is the preferred approach. There are a couple of fixtures located above the lap pool that are difficult to access for maintenance, options to improve accessibility to these fixtures would be beneficial.

Item No.2

Question: Can you please confirm if the emergency lighting circuits will be kept as existing?

Answer: Emergency lighting is not included in the scope of the project.

Item No.3

Question: Is there any lighting controls related work that is part of scope?

Answer: Existing lighting controls should be reviewed to determine compatibility with the proposed new lighting.

Item No.4

Question: Can you please confirm if as-built drawings are available? If yes, can you please provide.

Answer: Issued for building permit electrical drawings are available, no as-built drawings available.

"SAC Electrical Drawings Not As Built 2011-Jul-20.pdf" can be accessed via the following link:
<http://cs.scrd.ca/OTCS/cs.exe/Overview/292828>

Item No.5

Question: Could Regional District provide clarification on the '5.1.1 Relevant Experience' section on page 12? It states, 'The Proponent and any subcontractors of the Proponent included in its proposal should have a minimum of five (5) years within the past ten (10) years providing services of a similar scope and complexity.' Does Regional District require a minimum of five (5) years, or is it ten (10)?

Answer: The Regional District is requesting that Proponents demonstrate that they have five (5) years of experience completing this work and the experience needs to be completed at some point within the last ten (10) years (2014-2024).

Item No.6

Question: Can you confirm if the work is just for the pool deck area?

Answer: Yes just the pool deck area and the work is for the fluorescent lights, not coloured lights or the old sodium lights that are not being used.

Item No.7

Question: The RFP stated that there was some work in the change rooms as additional work?

Answer: The additional work will be completed during future phases of the project which will occur if we are proceeding separately from base scope.

Item No.8

Question: What is the Regional District's goal for this project?

Answer: Energy savings, ease of maintenance – two (2) of the lights located over the lap pool are very difficult to access as well as the one (1) over top of the hot tub. Proponents should provide solutions for that, maybe its addition of more lights or lights on tracks etc. We currently have to custom build scaffolding to access the lights in these areas and the scaffolding is 12ft plus in height. The lights around the parameter of the pool can be accessed via scissor lift with platforms.

Item No.9

Question: Do the sound baffles have to stay?

Answer: Yes, the sound baffles have to stay but they can be moved slightly with Regional District approval. We anticipate that they could safely move two (2) feet.

Item No.10

Question: Do you have any drawings of the facility that show the placement of the sound baffles?

Answer: No.

Item No.9

Question: Do you have any drawings of the facility that show where the lights currently are?

Answer: See Q&A Item 4.

ItemNo.10

Question: RFP states that costing required is Order of Magnitude and Class C. But the Class C costing is at either 60% or 90% Design stages which is unusual as Class C is usually at Schematic Design stage (about 30% Design). Class B is typically at 60% Design and Class A at 90% to 100% Design.

Can you confirm if it is Class C (Schematic Design) or a Class B or Class A?

Answer: The Regional District would like a Class C at schematic design stage.
